IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 25TH OCTOBER 2007 / 3RD KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 31653 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

M.KUNHI MUHAMMED, S/O.IMBICHI,
MAYANTHRIKANATH HOUSE, PONNANI P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN - 679 577.

BY ADV. SRI.P.N.RAVINDRAN
SMT.PREETHY KARUNAKARAN
SMT.M.M.DEEPA
SRI.JAYKAR.K.S.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, MALAPPURAM.

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, TIRUR.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, PONNANI TALUK,
PONNANI P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.P.PRADEEP

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/10/2007, ALONG WITH WPC NOS.31725 & 31770/07, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

==========

W.P.(C) NOs. 31653, 31725 & 31770 OF 2007

==========

Dated this the 25th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The issue raised in these writ petitions is common and these cases are disposed of by this judgment.

For the sake of convenience, I am referring to the facts in so far as it concerns WP(C) No.31653/07.

Aggrieved by Ext.P3 order issued under the Kerala Land Conservancy Act, 1957, petitioner filed Ext.P5 appeal to the 3rd respondent, which was dismissed as per Ext.P8 order. Against Ext.P8, petitioner filed Ext.P9 revision to the 2nd respondent together with Ext.P10 application for condonation of delay. The prayer that is made in this writ petition is for disposal of Exts. P9 and P10.

In view of the pendency of the statutory revisions that are filed by the petitioners together with application for condonation

of delay, I see no reason to decline the relief that is sought for by the petitioners.

2. Accordingly, WP(C) No.31653/07 will stand disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P10 delay application and depending upon the order thereon, Ext.P9 revision petition filed against Ext.P8 shall also be considered.

3. In so far as WP(C) No.31725/07 and WP(C) 31770/07 also, the revision petitions and application for condonation of delay have been filed and these have been marked as Exts. P7 and P8 in these two cases. For the same reasons, I dispose of these two cases also directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext.P8 application for delay and depending upon the order thereon, Ext.P7 revision as well shall also be considered.

Orders on the application for condonation of delay in all these cases shall be passed with notice to the petitioners within four weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment and thereafter revision petitions also shall be considered depending upon the

orders in the delay petitions. The above proceedings shall be completed within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. In the meantime, further proceedings in implementation of the orders issued under the Kerala Land Conservancy Act against the petitioners shall be deferred for a period of ten weeks from today.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp